Comparison of Physical Activity and Outdoor Play Environments of Family

Childcare Homes and Centers in Nebraska



Danae Dinkel, Ph.D; Dipti Dev, PhD; Yage Guo, MS; Emily Hulse, MS; Zainab Rida, PhD, RD, LMNT; Ami Sedani, MPH; Brian Coyle, MPH

University of Nebraska at Omaha; University of Nebraska at Lincoln, Children's Hospital and Medical Center; Nebraska Department of Education; Nebraska Department of Health and



Human Services

Physical Activity and Health Promotion Lab

Abstract

Purpose: Since 2010, Nebraska state and local partners have collaborated to offer the Nutrition and Physical Activity Self-Assessment for Childcare (Go NAP SACC) to family childcare homes (FCCH) and childcare centers (CCC) across the state. However, FCCHs and CCCs have unique characteristics that may impact the type of physical activity environment they offer to the children they serve. For example, CCCs usually have bigger facilities and more staff compared to FCCHs. To date, no research has examined differences that may exist in the physical activity environments between FCCHs and CCCs in Nebraska. Identifying these differences is critical to understanding how to best support each setting to achieve best practices. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess and compare differences in the physical activity environment of FCCHs and CCCs in Nebraska.

Methods: FCCHs (n =201) and CCCs (n =102) participated in the Go NAP SACC pre-evaluation during 2014-2016. This study examined the results from 2 of the 5 Go NAP SACC selfassessments: Infant and Child Physical Activity and Outdoor Play and Learning. Answers were coded as 1 = barely met, 2 = met, 3 = exceeded, and 4 = far exceeded childcare standards based on Go NAP SACC recommended best practices. Multivariate analysis were conducted to evaluate differences. Results: The result indicated that FCCHs and CCCs both performed significantly better than their counterparts on several items. FCCHs reported higher ratings in respect to the following items: 1) the amount of time providing for children's indoor and outdoor physical activity (p<.01); 2) offering tummy time to non-crawling infants (.028); 3) the amount of adult-led physical activity provided to children each day (p<.01); 4) the time limit that children were asked to remain seated (p=.044); 5) covering a variety of physical activity topics during professional development (p=.003); and 6) the amount of shade provided outdoors (p=.012). CCCs reported higher ratings in: 1) provision of outdoor play (p=.015); 2) the amount of time providing for outdoor play each day (p=.032); 3) the use of the outdoors for a variety of activities (free play, structured learning; p=.03), 4) the number of play areas in outdoor play spaces (sand box, swings; p=.036), and having a written policy on outdoor play with a variety of topics (p=.005). Both providers FCCHs and CCCs scored relatively lower on education and professional development and policy dimensions across physical activity and outdoor play practices.

Conclusions: Interestingly, FCCHs showed significantly higher achievement of childcare standards in relation to infant and child physical activity in general whereas CCCs reported higher scores in regards to outdoor play and learning. Future research should examine if there are ways FCCHs and CCCs can learn from each other in order to continue to improve quality in these areas.

Purpose

Given that approximately 62% of children under the age of 6 receive some form of non-parental regular childcare, childcare settings have the potential to have a significant impact on children's health behaviors (United States Census Bureau, 2013). Physical activity is an important health behavior in early childhood as it positively contributes to numerous developmental milestones (physical, social, and psychological) (Timmons et al., 2012; Ward, 2010). Unfortunately, up to one half of children may not be obtaining enough physical activity in childcare (Pate et al., 2015). Efforts are needed to better implement policies and practices targeting increases in physical activity in childcare settings.

An important consideration when developing policies and practices is to understand the type of childcare setting — which are primarily either family childcare homes (FCCHs) or childcare centers (CCCs). CCCs typically consist of multiple classrooms separated by age, while FCCHs are typically a smaller group of children of differing ages within one area. CCCs usually have bigger facilities and more staff to implement physical activity. However, owing to fewer staff to manage, and that the FCCH owner is the provider, FCCH providers may feel more accountable and have flexibility to implement physical activity policies compared to centers.

Purpose Cont'd

Since 2010, Nebraska state and local partners have collaborated to offer the Nutrition and Physical Activity Self-Assessment for Childcare (Go NAP SACC) to family childcare homes (FCCH) and childcare centers (CCC) across the state. To date, no research has examined differences that may exist in the physical activity environments between FCCHs and CCCs in Nebraska. Identifying these differences is critical to understanding how to best support each setting to achieve best practices. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess and compare differences in the physical activity environment of FCCHs and CCCs in Nebraska.

Methods

- A non-experimental cross-sectional design was utilized.
- FCCHs (n =201) and CCCs (n =102) providers participated in the Go NAP SACC pre self-assessment during 2014-2016.
- This study examined the results from 2 of the 5 Go NAP SACC self-assessments: Infant and Child Physical Activity and Outdoor Play and Learning.
- Answers were coded as 1 = barely met, 2 = met, 3 = exceeded, and 4 = far exceeded childcare standards based on Go NAP SACC recommended best practices.
- The multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was used to determine differences across context.

Results

			MES
Table 1. Mean Scores to Infant and Child Physical Activity Ite	ems		
	FCCH	CCC	p-Value
Time provided	n=201	n=102	
The amount of time I provide for children's indoor and outdoor physical activity each day is	3.25	2.52	<.01**
I offer tummy time to non-crawling infants	3.04	2.78	.028*
The amount of adult-led physical activity my program provides to children each day is	2.94	2.22	<.01**
Outside of nap and meal times, the longest that children are asked to remain seated at any one time is r	3.53	3.31	.044*
Outside of nap and meal times, the longest that infants spend in seats, swings, or ExcerSaucers at any one time is ^r	2.48	2.57	.359
Indoor play environment			
My program has the following types portable play equipment available and in good condition for children to use indoors	3.42	3.44	.877
I offer portable play equipment to children during indoor free play time	3.27	3.21	.525
I offer developmentally appropriate portable play equipment to infants during tummy time and other indoor activities	3.70	3.56	.079
My program's collection of posters, books, and other learning materials that promote physical activity includes (amount of variety)	2.43	2.33	.330
Daily practices			
To manage challenging behaviors, I may take away time for physical activity or remove children from	3.43	3.57	.070
physically active playtime for longer than 5 minutes ^r			
I take the following role during children's physically active playtime:	3.42	3.41	.976
I supervise and verbally encourage physical activity	6.6%	3.3%	
I supervise, verbally encourage, and sometimes join in to increase children's physical activity	41.3%	24.6%	
I supervise, verbally encourage, and often join in to increase children's physical activity	43.9%	27.9%	
During tummy time and other activities, I interact with infants to help them build motor skills	3.45	3.40	.543
I use physical activity during daily routines, transitions, and planned activities	3.11	3.15	.655
Education and professional development			
I lead planned lessons for children focused on building gross motor skills	3.39	3.51	.230
I talk with children informally about the importance of physical activity	3.03	2.92	.290
I complete professional development on children's physical activity	2.77	2.73	.644
I have covered the following number of topics as part of this professional development	3.39	2.90	.003**
I offer families information on children's physical activity	2.38	2.33	.650
The information I offer families on children's physical activity covers the following number of topics	2.61	2.54	.603
Policy			
My program's written policy on physical activity includes the following number of topics	2.28	2.46	.148

Table 2. Mean Scores to Infant and Child Outdoor Play and Learning Items					
	FCCH	CCC	p-Valı		
Outdoor playtime	1 0011		p van		
provide time for outdoor play	3.04	3.29	.015*		
The amount of time I provide for outdoor play each	3.11	3.37	.032*		
lay is					
Less than 30 minutes	12.1%	5.72%			
30-44 minutes	31.5%	18.5%			
45-59 minutes	21.8%	31.86%			
60 minutes or more	34.6%	43.92%			
use the outdoors for the following number of activity	3.29	3.66	0.03*		
ypes (free play, structured learning opportunities,					
easonal outdoor activities, walking trip or field trips)					
Outdoor play environment					
The amount of my program's outdoor play space,	3.31	3.02	.012*		
that is shaded by structure or trees is					
No shade	4.75%	14.30%			
Less than ¼ or more than ¾ is shaded	16.7%	30.50%			
¼ to ½ is shaded	44.36%	41.70%			
½ to ¾ is shaded	34.19%	13.50%			
The open area that I use for outdoor games and	3.81	3.75	.631		
group activities is					
Large enough for some children to run around safely	9.1%	19.20%			
Large enough for most children to run around	21.4%	18.70%			
safely	,,,,,				
Large enough for all children to run around safely	69.5%	62.10%			
My program's outdoor play space includes (number	3.02	3.17	.036		
of play areas)					
Please describe the garden in your outdoor play	1.91	1.73	.751		
space					
I have no garden for herbs, fruits, or vegetables	60.2%	66.8%			
It grows only herbs	3.1%	1.0%			
It grows some fruits and/or vegetables for	22.0%	24.8%			
children to taste					
It grows enough fruits and/or vegetables to	14.7%	7.4%			
provide children meals or snacks during 1 or					
more seasons					
My program has the following portable play	3.51	3.39	.532		
equipment available and in good condition, for					
children to use outdoors (number of types)					
I offer children portable play equipment during	3.58	3.53	.863		
outdoor active playtime					
The amount of portable play equipment available to	3.70	3.46	.411		
children during outdoor active playtime is					
ducation and professional development					
I complete professional development on outdoor	2.45	2.60	.344		
play and learning					
I have covered the following number of topics as	2.95	2.72	.615		
part of this professional development					
I offer families information on outdoor play and	2.16	2.27	.832		
learning	0	_,_,	.552		
The information I offer families on outdoor play and	2.43	2.30	.637		
learning covers the following number of topics			.537		
olicy					
My program's written policy on outdoor play and	2.14	2.78	.005*		
learning includes the following number of topics			.505		

Scores reported on a 4-point Likert scale, with 1 being barely met physical activity standard and 4 being far exceeded childcare standard. The actual answer options differed depending on question.

* indicates p<.05; ** indicates p<.01

Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to assess and compare differences in the physical activity environment of FCCHs and CCCs in Nebraska. FCCHs scored higher than CCCs on six items; while CCCs scored higher than FCCHs on 4 items. Interestingly, FCCHs showed significantly higher achievement of childcare standards in relation to infant and child physical activity in general whereas CCCs reported higher scores in regards to outdoor play and learning. Future research should examine if there are ways FCCHs and CCCs can learn from each other in order to continue to improve quality in these areas.

Given the significant differences based on childcare context, these results underscore the need to deliver targeted interventions that promote children's physical activity. Further, our findings provide evidence that it is critical to understand baseline differences in childcare contexts in order to assist state leaders and early childhood stakeholders identify strategies and/or resources to best support childcare institutions of various sizes. Future research should also examine differences based on geographic location (i.e., urban vs. rural).

Funding: This project was supported by the Cooperative Agreement Number NU58DP004819, funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the Department of Health and Human Services. This work was also supported by a Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services Child Care and Development Fund, USDA Nebraska Team Nutrition, and a Title V Maternal and Child Health Block Grant.